



## Short Communication

# Telavancin activity when tested by a revised susceptibility testing method against uncommonly isolated Gram-positive pathogens responsible for documented infections in hospitals worldwide (2011–2013)



Rodrigo E. Mendes\*, Helio S. Sader, Robert K. Flamm, David J. Farrell, Ronald N. Jones

JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, United States

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 6 October 2014

Received in revised form 16 December 2014

Accepted 20 December 2014

## Keywords:

Broth microdilution

Susceptibility

Lipoglycopeptide

Polysorbate 80

## ABSTRACT

The broth microdilution method for telavancin susceptibility testing was revised and now utilises DMSO as solvent for stock solution preparation and diluent for stock solution dilution, following CLSI guidelines for water-insoluble agents. The revised method also incorporates polysorbate 80 in the test medium to mitigate drug binding to plastics. This revised methodology provides more accurate and reproducible MIC determinations, which results in values lower than the previously established method. This study was conducted to re-establish telavancin potencies and susceptibility profiles (using updated interpretive criteria) against a collection of uncommon clinical pathogens (3821 isolates). Telavancin showed MIC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.06 mg/L against tested staphylococcal species (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.03/0.06 mg/L; 98.1–100.0% susceptible), with lower results for *Staphylococcus hominis* (MIC<sub>50</sub>, ≤0.015 mg/L), *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* (MIC<sub>50</sub>, ≤0.015 mg/L) and *Staphylococcus simulans* (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.03 mg/L). Vancomycin (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 1 mg/L), daptomycin (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.12–1 mg/L) and linezolid (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.25–1 mg/L) had MIC<sub>50</sub> results at least four-fold higher than telavancin against CoNS. Streptococci (99.2–100.0% susceptible) displayed telavancin MIC<sub>50</sub> values of ≤0.015–0.03 mg/L. Vancomycin (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.25–0.5 mg/L) and linezolid (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.5–1 mg/L) had higher MIC<sub>50</sub> results against streptococci, whilst daptomycin MIC<sub>50</sub> values varied from ≤0.06 mg/L to 0.5 mg/L. *Micrococcus*, *Listeria* and *Corynebacterium* spp. were inhibited by telavancin at ≤0.015, ≤0.03 and ≤0.06 mg/L, respectively. Telavancin exhibited potent in vitro activity against this collection, greater than comparators (daptomycin, linezolid, vancomycin). This study provides new baseline MIC results for telavancin and confirms the spectrum and potency of telavancin against less commonly encountered Gram-positive species.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Chemotherapy of Infection and Cancer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Telavancin was approved in 2009 in North America (USA and Canada) for the treatment of adults with complicated skin and soft-tissue infections (cSSTI) caused by susceptible organisms. In addition, it was granted approval in the USA and Europe for the treatment of adult patients with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia caused by susceptible isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* [meticillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) only

in Europe] when alternative treatments are not suitable [1]. Telavancin possesses concentration-dependent bactericidal activity due to a dual mechanism of action combining inhibition of cell wall synthesis and disruption of bacterial cell membrane function [2]. These mechanisms of action provide potent antimicrobial activity for telavancin against a broad range of Gram-positive organisms, which has been reported in several previous studies [3–6].

Broth microdilution susceptibility testing method for telavancin was revised to accommodate modifications associated with preparation of telavancin stock solution and dilution, which now follow the current Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines for water-insoluble agents [7,8]. Moreover, this revised method also includes the addition of 0.002% polysorbate 80 to the test medium, which has been shown to reduce the binding of

\* Corresponding author at: JMI Laboratories, 345 Beaver Creek Centre, Suite A, North Liberty, IA 52317, United States. Tel.: +1 319 665 3370x218; fax: +1 319 665 3371.

E-mail address: [rodrigo-mendes@jmilabs.com](mailto:rodrigo-mendes@jmilabs.com) (R.E. Mendes).

lipoglycopeptides to plastics [7]. This revised broth microdilution method was approved by the CLSI [9] and the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [1]. This revised method provides more accurate, precise and reproducible telavancin minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determinations; however, these results are lower than those obtained by the previously established method [7,8]. Therefore, this study was performed to establish the activity and spectrum of telavancin when using a revised microdilution method tested against a worldwide collection of rarely isolated clinical pathogens.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Bacterial strains

A total of 3821 consecutive, non-duplicate, Gram-positive clinical isolates were included in this study, which were collected from medical centers located in 12 countries in the Asia-Pacific region (35 sites), 21 countries in Europe and Israel (53 sites), 11 countries in Latin America (21 sites) and 2 countries in North America (110 sites). These isolates were recovered primarily from bacteraemia (44%), SSTI (28%) and respiratory tract infections (8%), deemed clinically relevant by local guidelines and submitted to a central monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA) as part of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program for 2011–2013. Isolates were initially identified by the participating laboratory and the identification was confirmed by the reference monitoring laboratory (JMI

Laboratories) using standard algorithms and supported by VITEK<sup>®</sup> 2 (bioMérieux, Hazelwood, MO) and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/MS) (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany).

### 2.2. Antimicrobial susceptibility profile

Isolates were tested for susceptibility by broth microdilution following the guidelines in CLSI document M07-A9 [10]. Testing was performed using dry-form panels manufactured by Thermo Fisher Scientific (Cleveland, OH). These panels were previously validated and shown to provide MIC results equivalent to the revised, and CLSI- and FDA-approved, broth microdilution method (supplemented with 0.002% polysorbate 80) described above [7]. Bacterial inoculum density was monitored by colony counts to assure an adequate number of cells for each testing event. Validation of the MIC values was performed by concurrent testing of CLSI-recommended quality control reference strains (*S. aureus* ATCC 29213, *Enterococcus faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* ATCC 49619) [9]. All quality control results were within published acceptable ranges. The FDA-approved breakpoint for *S. aureus* ( $\leq 0.12$  mg/L) was applied to coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) [1]. The breakpoint for *Streptococcus anginosus* group ( $\leq 0.06$  mg/L for susceptible) was utilised for the interpretation of telavancin MIC results obtained against viridans group streptococci, whilst the interpretive criterion for *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Streptococcus agalactiae* ( $\leq 0.12$  mg/L for susceptible) was applied for  $\beta$ -haemolytic streptococci [1].

**Table 1**

Telavancin activity and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) distribution against a contemporary (2011–2013) and worldwide collection of clinical isolates.

Genus Group	MIC (mg/L)		No. (cumulative %) inhibited at a telavancin MIC (mg/L) of <sup>a</sup>				
Species (no. tested)	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25
<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (1656)							
<i>Staphylococcus intermedius</i> (11)	$\leq 0.015$	$\leq 0.015$	<b>10 (90.9)</b>	1 (100.0)			
<i>Staphylococcus lugdunensis</i> (258)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>147 (57.0)</b>	98 (95.0)	13 (100.0)		
<i>Staphylococcus hominis</i> (414)	$\leq 0.015$	0.06	<b>228 (55.1)</b>	127 (85.7)	58 (99.8)	1 (100.0)	
<i>Staphylococcus simulans</i> (48)	0.03	0.06	0 (0.0)	<b>24 (50.0)</b>	21 (93.8)	3 (100.0)	
<i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i> (425)	0.06	0.06	24 (5.6)	126 (35.3)	<b>262 (96.9)</b>	13 (100.0)	
<i>Staphylococcus caprae</i> (42)	0.06	0.06	1 (2.4)	12 (31.0)	<b>28 (97.6)</b>	1 (100.0)	
<i>Staphylococcus cohnii</i> (27)	0.06	0.06	1 (3.7)	12 (48.1)	<b>13 (96.3)</b>	1 (100.0)	
<i>Staphylococcus capitis</i> (214)	0.06	0.06	24 (11.2)	72 (44.9)	<b>114 (98.1)</b>	3 (99.5)	1 (100.0)
<i>Staphylococcus warneri</i> (93)	0.06	0.06	4 (4.3)	31 (37.6)	<b>51 (92.5)</b>	7 (100.0)	
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> (106)	0.06	0.12	3 (2.8)	4 (6.6)	<b>58 (61.3)</b>	39 (98.1)	2 (100.0)
<i>Staphylococcus pettenkoferi</i> (18)	0.06	0.12	0 (0.0)	<b>8 (44.4)</b>	4 (66.7)	6 (100.0)	
Viridans group streptococci (1939)							
<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i> group (627)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>371 (59.2)</b>	238 (97.1)	18 (100.0)		
<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i> (434)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>227 (52.3)</b>	195 (97.2)	12 (100.0)		
<i>Streptococcus constellatus</i> (157)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>119 (75.8)</b>	36 (98.7)	2 (100.0)		
<i>Streptococcus intermedius</i> (36)	$\leq 0.015$	0.06	<b>25 (69.4)</b>	7 (88.9)	4 (100.0)		
<i>Streptococcus mitis</i> group (1039)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>604 (58.1)</b>	388 (95.5)	46 (99.9)	1 (100.0)	
<i>Streptococcus mitis/oralis</i> (788)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>488 (61.9)</b>	268 (95.9)	31 (99.9)	1 (100.0)	
<i>Streptococcus sanguinis</i> (113)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>58 (51.3)</b>	49 (94.7)	6 (100.0)		
<i>Streptococcus gordonii</i> (37)	0.03	0.06	15 (40.5)	<b>18 (89.2)</b>	4 (100.0)		
<i>Streptococcus parasanguinis</i> (101)	0.03	0.03	43 (42.6)	<b>53 (95.0)</b>	5 (100.0)		
Other viridans group streptococci							
<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i> (123)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>77 (62.6)</b>	38 (93.5)	7 (99.2)	1 (100.0)	
<i>Streptococcus vestibularis</i> (10)	$\leq 0.015$	$\leq 0.015$	<b>9 (90.0)</b>	1 (100.0)			
<i>Streptococcus bovis/gallolyticus</i> (126)	0.03	0.03	<b>61 (48.4)</b>	59 (95.2)	6 (100.0)		
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i> (14)	0.03	0.06	3 (21.4)	<b>9 (85.7)</b>	2 (100.0)		
$\beta$ -streptococci (157)							
<i>Streptococcus dysgalactiae</i> (143)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>109 (76.2)</b>	28 (95.8)	5 (99.3)	1 (100.0)	
<i>Streptococcus equisimilis</i> (14)	$\leq 0.015$	0.06	<b>7 (50.0)</b>	5 (85.7)	2 (100.0)		
Other genera (69)							
<i>Micrococcus</i> spp. (11)	$\leq 0.015$	$\leq 0.015$	<b>11 (100.0)</b>				
<i>Listeria</i> spp. (24)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>21 (87.5)</b>	3 (100.0)			
<i>Corynebacterium</i> spp. (34)	$\leq 0.015$	0.03	<b>29 (85.3)</b>	4 (97.1)	1 (100.0)		

<sup>a</sup> Modal MIC results are in bold.

Breakpoint criteria for comparator agents were those from the CLSI [9].

### 3. Results and discussion

Overall, lower telavancin MIC<sub>50</sub> results were noted against *Staphylococcus hominis* (MIC<sub>50</sub>, ≤0.015 mg/L), *Staphylococcus intermedius* (MIC<sub>50</sub>, ≤0.015 mg/L), *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* (MIC<sub>50</sub>, ≤0.015 mg/L) and *Staphylococcus simulans* (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.03 mg/L) compared with a slightly higher MIC<sub>50</sub> value obtained against other staphylococcal species (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.06 mg/L) (Table 1). The comparator, daptomycin, also showed a similar activity profile (MIC<sub>50</sub> values) against staphylococci other than *S. aureus*, with lower MIC<sub>50</sub> results (0.12–0.25 mg/L) for *S. hominis*, *S. intermedius*, *S. lugdunensis*, *S. simulans* and *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* and higher values (0.5–1 mg/L) against other species (Table 2). Vancomycin showed constant MIC<sub>50</sub> results (1 mg/L) against CoNS, whilst linezolid MIC<sub>50</sub> values varied between 0.25 mg/L and 1 mg/L. Telavancin demonstrated MIC<sub>50</sub> results at least four-fold lower than these comparators when tested against these staphylococci; only one *Staphylococcus capitis* and two *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (0.2%) had telavancin MIC results (i.e. 0.25 mg/L) above the susceptible breakpoint for *S. aureus* (i.e. ≤0.12 mg/L). These isolates exhibited daptomycin, vancomycin

and linezolid MIC values of 0.5–1, 0.5–2 and 0.5–2 mg/L, respectively. In addition, the telavancin MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub> results tested against CoNS remained stable (0.03 mg/L and 0.06 mg/L, respectively), regardless of the oxacillin susceptibility status (data not shown).

Viridans group streptococci were very susceptible to telavancin (99.9% susceptible at ≤0.06 mg/L), with MIC<sub>50</sub> results of ≤0.015 mg/L or 0.03 mg/L (Tables 1 and 2). The comparator agents, vancomycin and linezolid, had MIC<sub>50</sub> values of 0.5 mg/L and 0.5–1 mg/L, respectively. Daptomycin also showed MIC<sub>50</sub> results of 0.25 mg/L and 0.5 mg/L, except for *Streptococcus bovis/gallolyticus* (MIC<sub>50</sub>, ≤0.06 mg/L) and *Streptococcus salivarius/vesicularis* (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.12 mg/L). One *Streptococcus mitis* and one *S. salivarius* had non-susceptible results for telavancin (i.e. MIC, 0.12 mg/L). The *S. mitis* displayed MIC values of 2, 1 and 1 mg/L for daptomycin, vancomycin and linezolid, respectively, whilst the *S. salivarius* strain exhibited MIC results 0.12, 0.5 and 1 mg/L, respectively (data not shown).

Telavancin (MIC<sub>50</sub>, ≤0.015 mg/L) and daptomycin (MIC<sub>50</sub>, ≤0.06 mg/L) showed greatest activities against *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* and *Streptococcus equisimilis*, followed by vancomycin (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.25 mg/L) and linezolid (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 1 mg/L) (Tables 1 and 2). These four agents also inhibited all β-haemolytic streptococci at or below their respective applied breakpoints for susceptibility

**Table 2**  
Antimicrobial activity and spectrum of telavancin and comparator agents against a worldwide collection of clinical isolates.

Genus Group Species (no. tested)	MIC <sub>50</sub> , MIC <sub>90</sub> (mg/L) and % susceptible <sup>a</sup> for each agent											
	Telavancin			Vancomycin			Daptomycin			Linezolid		
	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	%S	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	%S	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	%S	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	%S
<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. (1656)												
<i>Staphylococcus intermedius</i> (11)	≤0.015	≤0.015	100.0	1	1	100.0	0.12	0.25	100.0	0.5	1	100.0
<i>Staphylococcus lugdunensis</i> (258)	≤0.015	0.03	100.0	1	1	100.0	0.25	0.25	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0
<i>Staphylococcus hominis</i> (414)	≤0.015	0.06	100.0	1	2	100.0	0.25	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	99.8
<i>Staphylococcus simulans</i> (48)	0.03	0.06	100.0	1	1	100.0	0.25	0.5	100.0	1	1	97.9
<i>Staphylococcus haemolyticus</i> (425)	0.06	0.06	100.0	1	2	100.0	0.25	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	99.5
<i>Staphylococcus caprae</i> (42)	0.06	0.06	100.0	1	1	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	100.0
<i>Staphylococcus cohnii</i> (27)	0.06	0.06	100.0	1	1	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0	1	2	96.3
<i>Staphylococcus capitis</i> (214)	0.06	0.06	99.5	1	1	100.0	0.5	1	99.5	0.5	1	99.1
<i>Staphylococcus warneri</i> (93)	0.06	0.06	100.0	1	2	100.0	0.5	1	100.0	0.5	1	100.0
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> (106)	0.06	0.12	98.1	1	2	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0	1	1	100.0
<i>Staphylococcus pettenkoferi</i> (18)	0.06	0.12	100.0	1	2	100.0	1	2	83.3	0.25	0.5	100.0
Viridans group streptococci (1939)												
<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i> group (627)	≤0.015	0.03	100.0	0.5	1	100.0	0.25	0.5	99.8	1	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i> (434)	≤0.015	0.03	100.0	0.5	1	100.0	0.25	0.5	99.8	1	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus constellatus</i> (157)	≤0.015	0.03	100.0	0.5	1	100.0	0.25	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus intermedius</i> (36)	≤0.015	0.06	100.0	0.5	1	100.0	0.25	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus mitis</i> group (1039)												
<i>Streptococcus gordonii</i> (37)	0.03	0.06	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	100.0	0.5	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus mitis/oralis</i> (788)	≤0.015	0.03	99.9	0.5	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	99.2	0.5	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus parasanguinis</i> (101)	0.03	0.03	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	98.0	1	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus sanguinis</i> (113)	≤0.015	0.03	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0	0.25	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	99.1 <sup>b</sup>
Other viridans group streptococci												
<i>Streptococcus bovis/gallolyticus</i> (126)	0.03	0.03	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0	≤0.06	0.12	100.0	1	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i> (14)	0.03	0.06	100.0	0.5	1	100.0	0.25	0.5	100.0	0.5	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus salivarius</i> (123)	≤0.015	0.03	99.2	0.5	1	100.0	0.12	0.25	100.0	0.5	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus vestibularis</i> (10)	≤0.015	≤0.015	100.0	0.5	0.5	100.0	0.12	0.25	100.0	0.5	1	100.0
β-Haemolytic streptococci (157)												
<i>Streptococcus dysgalactiae</i> (143)	≤0.015	0.03	100.0	0.25	0.5	100.0	≤0.06	0.12	100.0	1	1	100.0
<i>Streptococcus equisimilis</i> (14)	≤0.015	0.06	100.0	0.25	0.5	100.0	≤0.06	≤0.06	100.0	1	1	100.0
Other genera (69)												
<i>Corynebacterium</i> spp. (34)	≤0.015	0.03	– <sup>c</sup>	0.25	0.5	–	≤0.06	0.25	–	0.25	0.5	–
<i>Listeria</i> spp. (24)	≤0.015	0.03	–	1	1	–	2	4	–	1	2	–
<i>Micrococcus</i> spp. (11)	≤0.015	≤0.015	–	0.25	0.25	–	0.12	0.25	–	0.5	0.5	–

<sup>a</sup> Breakpoint criteria for telavancin according to the labelling supplement for the product VIBATIV<sup>®</sup>, as available. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved breakpoint for telavancin against *S. aureus* (≤12 mg/L for susceptible) was used for staphylococci. The breakpoint for viridans group streptococci was that from *S. anginosus* group (≤0.06 mg/L for susceptible), whilst the interpretive criterion for *S. pyogenes* and *S. agalactiae* (≤0.12 mg/L for susceptible) was applied for other β-haemolytic streptococci [1]. Breakpoint criteria for comparator agents were those from the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [9].

<sup>b</sup> One *S. sanguinis* with a linezolid MIC of 32 mg/L [11].

<sup>c</sup> Breakpoint not available.

(Table 2). Other tested genera such as *Micrococcus* spp. (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, ≤0.015/≤0.015 mg/L), *Listeria* spp. (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, ≤0.015/0.03 mg/L) and *Corynebacterium* spp. (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, ≤0.015/0.03 mg/L) showed telavancin MIC<sub>100</sub> results of ≤0.015, 0.03 and 0.06 mg/L, respectively (Table 1).

Because the reference broth microdilution method for telavancin susceptibility testing was revised, this study was intended to update the in vitro information and to re-establish the benchmark for telavancin when tested against these uncommon isolates. The species or group of species presented here also include primary (i.e. *S. anginosus* group) and secondary (i.e. *S. haemolyticus* and *S. dysgalactiae*) indicated organisms [1]. In addition, these in vitro data confirm the activity and spectrum of telavancin against these less commonly encountered Gram-positive species, and report telavancin potency greater than three comparator agents (daptomycin, linezolid and vancomycin) with clinical indications for treatment of uncomplicated and complicated SSTI.

### Funding

The research and publication process was supported by Theravance Biopharma Antibiotics, Inc.

### Competing interest

JMI Laboratories, Inc. has received research and educational grants in 2012–2014 from Achaogen, Actelion, Affinium, American Proficiency Institute (API), AmpliPhi Bio, Anacor, Astellas, Astra-Zeneca, Basilea, BioVersys, Cardeas, Cempra, Cerexa, Cubist, Daiichi, Dipexium, Durata, Fedora, Forest Research Institute, Furiex, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Johnson & Johnson, Medpace, Meiji Seika Kaisha, Melinta, Merck, MethylGene, Nabriva, Nanosphere, Novartis, Pfizer, Polyphor, Rempex, Roche, Seachaid, Shionogi, Synthes, The Medicines Co., Theravance, Inc., Theravance Biopharma Antibiotics, Inc., Thermo Fisher, VenatoRx, Vertex and Waterloo. Some JMI employees are advisors/consultants for Astellas, Cubist, Pfizer, Cempra, Cerexa-Forest and Theravance Biopharma.

### Ethical approval

Not required.

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the following staff members at JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, IA): M. Castanheira, M. Janeczek, K. Hass, P. Rhomberg, J. Ross and J. Streit, and other staff members for technical support and manuscript assistance.

### References

- [1] VIBATIV<sup>®</sup> package insert; 2014, <http://www.vibativ.com> [accessed 12.04.14].
- [2] Lunde CS, Hartouni SR, Janc JW, Mammen M, Humphrey PP, Benton BM. Telavancin disrupts the functional integrity of the bacterial membrane through targeted interaction with the cell wall precursor lipid II. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009;53:3375–83.
- [3] Mendes RE, Sader HS, Farrell DJ, Jones RN. Update on the telavancin activity tested against European staphylococcal clinical isolates (2009–2010). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011;71:93–7.
- [4] Draghi DC, Benton BM, Krause KM, Thornsberry C, Pillar C, Sahm DF. In vitro activity of telavancin against recent Gram-positive clinical isolates: results of the 2004–05 prospective European surveillance initiative. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008;62:116–21.
- [5] Mendes RE, Sader HS, Farrell DJ, Jones RN. Telavancin activity tested against a contemporary collection of Gram-positive pathogens from USA hospitals (2007–2009). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;72:113–7.
- [6] Draghi DC, Benton BM, Krause KM, Thornsberry C, Pillar C, Sahm DF. Comparative surveillance study of telavancin activity against recently collected Gram-positive clinical isolates from across the United States. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008;52:2383–8.
- [7] Farrell DJ, Mendes RE, Rhomberg PR, Jones RN. Revised reference broth microdilution method for testing telavancin: effect on MIC results and correlation with other testing methodologies. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014;58:5547–51.
- [8] Ross JE, Mendes RE, Jones RN. Quality control MIC ranges for telavancin when applying a revised CLSI reference broth microdilution method. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014;52:3399–401.
- [9] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty-fourth informational supplement. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2014, Document M100-S24.
- [10] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard. 9th ed. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2012, Document M07-A9.
- [11] Mendes RE, Deshpande LM, Kim J, Myers DS, Ross JE, Jones RN. *Streptococcus sanguinis* displaying a cross resistance phenotype to several ribosomal RNA targeting agents. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013;51:2728–31.